RECENT B PHYSICS ANOMALIES A FIRST HINT FOR COMPOSITENESS?

ADRIAN CARMONA BERMUDEZ prisma cluster of excellence mainz institute for theoretical physics



April 10, 2018 Slide 1/34

OUTLINE

1 Recent B-physics anomalies

2 Violation of Lepton Flavor Universality in Composite Higgs Models

AC, GOERTZ: PRL 116 2016 NO25, 251801; ARXIV:1510.07658; AC, GOERTZ: ARXIV:1712.02536



FLAVOR ANOMALIES CHARGED CURRENTS

 \checkmark A combined $\sim 4\,\sigma$ anomaly on charged current semileptonic $\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}$ decays

$$R_D^{(*)} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau\bar{\nu})}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell\bar{\nu})}; \quad R_D/R_D^{\rm SM} = 1.37 \pm 0.17 \quad R_{D^*}/R_{D^*}^{\rm SM} = 1.28 \pm 0.08$$



! Requires very light NP, since it has to compete with the SM tree-level prediction

FLAVOR ANOMALIES

✓ There are several prominent deviations in $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell$ transitions

Observable	Experiment	SM prediction	pull
$\langle P_5' \rangle_{[4,6]}$	-0.30 ± 0.16	-0.82 ± 0.08	-2.9
$\langle P_5' \rangle_{[6,8]}$	-0.51 ± 0.12	-0.94 ± 0.08	-2.9
$R_{K}^{[1,6]}$	$0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074}\pm0.036$	1.00 ± 0.01	+2.6
$R_{K^*}^{[0.045,1.1]}$	$0.66^{+0.11}_{-0.07} \pm 0.03$	0.92 ± 0.02	+2.2
$R_{K^*}^{[1.1,6]}$	$0.69^{+0.11}_{-0.07} \pm 0.05$	1.00 ± 0.01	+2.5

where

$$\mathcal{R}_{K}^{[q_{0}^{2},q_{1}^{2}]} \equiv \left. \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \to K^{+}e^{+}e^{-})} \right|_{[q_{0}^{2},q_{1}^{2}]}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{K^{*}}^{[q_{0}^{2},q_{1}^{2}]} \equiv \left. \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{*}\mu^{+}\mu^{-})}{\mathcal{B}(B^{0} \to K^{*}e^{+}e^{-})} \right|_{[q_{0}^{2},q_{1}^{2}]},$$

and P'_5 is some 'complicated' angular observable in $B \to K^* \mu \mu$.

THE CASE FOR RK(*)

From the NP point of view, R_K and R_{K^*} stand out for several reasons

- 1 They are very clean observables!
 - For R_K
 - Perturbative and non-perturbative QCD contributions cancel
 - $\log(m_{\ell})$ enhanced QED corrections are at the $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$ level BORDONE. ISIDORI, PATTORI, 16

For R_{K^*} , QCD contributions cancel within the SM

- 2 It is a loop level effect in the SM
- **3** They probe a somehow fundamental feature of the SM: lepton flavor universality!

In order to compute the NP effects in $b\to s\ell\ell$ we use the following effective Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} (V_{ts}^* V_{tb}) \sum_i \hat{C}_i^\ell \mathcal{O}_i^\ell(\mu),$$

with the relevant operators being

$$\mathcal{O}_{7} = \frac{e}{16\pi^{2}} m_{b} \left(\bar{s}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} P_{R} b \right) F^{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \mathcal{O}_{7}^{\prime} = \frac{e}{16\pi^{2}} m_{b} \left(\bar{s}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} P_{L} b \right) F^{\alpha\beta}, \\ \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\ell} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\alpha} P_{L} b \right) \left(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\alpha} \ell \right), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{9}^{\ell\prime} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\alpha} P_{R} b \right) \left(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\alpha} \ell \right), \\ \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\ell} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\alpha} P_{L} b \right) \left(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_{5} \ell \right), \qquad \mathcal{O}_{10}^{\ell\prime} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\bar{s}\gamma_{\alpha} P_{R} b \right) \left(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\alpha}\gamma_{5} \ell \right),$$

and $\hat{C}_i = C_i^{SM} + C_i^{NP}$. We have

$${\it C}_9^{\ell\,{\rm SM}}=4.07\,,\ {\it C}_{10}^{\ell\,{\rm SM}}=-4.31\,,\ {\it C}_7^{\rm SM}=-0.29\,.$$

and $C_{7,9,10}^{\ell/\text{SM}} = 0.$

There are also (pseudo)scalar operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}^{(\prime)} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} (\bar{s} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{L}} b)(\bar{\ell}\ell), \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{(\prime)} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} (\bar{s} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R},\mathcal{L}} b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma_5\ell),$$

as well as tensor ones

$$\mathcal{O}_{T(5)} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} (\bar{s}\sigma^{\mu\nu} b) (\bar{\ell}\sigma_{\mu\nu}(\gamma_5)\ell).$$

However, only $\mathcal{O}_S - \mathcal{O}_P$ and $\mathcal{O}'_S + \mathcal{O}'_P$ are non zero when one goes from the dim-6 SM EFT to \mathcal{H}_{eff}

ALONSO, GRINSTEIN, MARTIN - CAMALICH '14

 $\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \ell^+ \ell^-)$ very sensitive to (pseudo)scalar operators \Rightarrow NP contributions there have to be small \Rightarrow NP in $b \to s\ell\ell$ transitions can be very well parametrized by $\mathcal{O}_{9,10,7}^{(\prime)}$

We can also use for convenience the chiral basis,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff} = -\frac{4G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}} (V_{ts}^* V_{tb}) \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{O}_7 + \mathcal{O}_7' + \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} = L, R \\ \ell = e, \mu, \tau}} C_{\mathsf{bS}_{\mathbf{X}} \ell_{\mathbf{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{bS}_{\mathbf{X}} \ell_{\mathbf{Y}}} \right) + \text{h.c.} \,,$$

with

$$\mathcal{O}_{bs_{X}\ell_{Y}} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{X}b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{Y}\ell)\,,$$

since often NP models treat a certain chirality in a special way and one can take advantage of the hierarchy of SM contributions

$$C_{bs_{\ell_L}}^{SM} = 8.38 \gg - C_{bs_{\ell_R}}^{SM} = 0.24.$$

In this basis

$$R_{K} = \frac{|C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm L}\mu_{\rm L}} + C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm R}\mu_{\rm L}}|^{2} + |C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm L}\mu_{\rm R}} + C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm R}\mu_{\rm R}}|^{2}}{|C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm L}e_{\rm L}} + C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm R}e_{\rm L}}|^{2} + |C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm L}e_{\rm R}} + C_{b{\rm S}_{\rm R}e_{\rm R}}|^{2}}$$

We can also use for convenience the chiral basis,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_{\text{F}}}{\sqrt{2}} (V_{\text{ts}}^* V_{\text{tb}}) \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{O}_7 + \mathcal{O}_7' + \sum_{\substack{\textbf{X}, \textbf{Y} = L, R \\ \ell = e, \mu, \tau}} C_{\text{bs}_{\text{X}} \ell_{\text{Y}}} \mathcal{O}_{\text{bs}_{\text{X}} \ell_{\text{Y}}} \right) + \text{h.c.} \,,$$

with

$$\mathcal{O}_{bs_{X}\ell_{Y}} = (\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}P_{X}b)(\bar{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}P_{Y}\ell)\,,$$

since often NP models treat a certain chirality in a special way and one can take advantage of the hierarchy of SM contributions

$$C_{bs_{L}\ell_{L}}^{SM} = 8.38 \gg -C_{bs_{L}\ell_{R}}^{SM} = 0.24.$$

In this basis

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}} \simeq 1 + 2 \frac{\mathsf{Re}[C_{b\mathbf{g},\ell_{L}}^{\mathsf{SM}^{*}}(C_{b\mathbf{g},\mu_{L}}^{\mathsf{NP}} + C_{b\mathbf{g},\mu_{L}}^{\mathsf{NP}} - C_{b\mathbf{g},e_{L}}^{\mathsf{NP}} - C_{b\mathbf{g},e_{L}}^{\mathsf{NP}})]}{|C_{b\mathbf{g},\ell_{L}}^{\mathsf{SM}}|^{2}} \\ + \frac{|C_{b\mathbf{g},\mu_{R}}^{\mathsf{NP}} + C_{b\mathbf{g},\mu_{R}}^{\mathsf{NP}}|^{2} - |C_{b\mathbf{g},e_{R}}^{\mathsf{NP}} + C_{b\mathbf{g},e_{R}}^{\mathsf{NP}}|^{2}}{|C_{b\mathbf{g},\ell_{L}}^{\mathsf{SM}}|^{2}} \end{split}$$

Analogously,



MODEL BUILDING

Three main possibilities:



- Most of the models are ad-hoc solutions to the flavor anomalies
- The connection with naturalness was largely unexplored
- Can it be the first hint of a bigger picture?

VIOLATION OF LFU IN CHMS

COMPOSITE HIGGS

- One interesting solution to the hierarchy problem is making the Higgs composite, the remnant of some new strong dynamics KAPLAN GEORGI '84
- It is particularly compelling when the Higgs is the pNGB of some new strong interaction. Something like pions in QCD AGASHE CONTINO POMAROL '04



They can naturally lead to a light Higgs $m_{\pi}^2 = m_h^2 \sim g_{\rm el}^2 \mu^2 / 16 \pi^2$

COMPOSITE HIGGS

- One interesting solution to the hierarchy problem is making the Higgs composite, the remnant of some new strong dynamics KAPLAN GEORGI '84
- It is particularly compelling when the Higgs is the pNGB of some new strong interaction. Something like pions in QCD AGASHE CONTINO POMAROL '04



They can naturally lead to a light Higgs $m_{\pi}^2 = m_h^2 \sim g_{\rm el}^2 \mu^2 / 16 \pi^2$

HIGGS POTENTIAL

- The gauge contribution is aligned in the direction that preserves the gauge symmetry WITTEN '83
- However, the linear mixings $\mathcal{L}_{mix} = \lambda_L^q \bar{q}_L \mathcal{O}_L^q + \lambda_R^t \bar{t}_R \mathcal{O}_R^t + h.c.$ needed to generate the fermion masses



break the NGB symmetry and will be also responsible for EWSB



HIGGS POTENTIAL

One can promote the linear mixings to spurions of G and expand in powers of λ/g_*

$$V \sim m_*^4 \frac{N_c}{16\pi^2} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{g_*}\right)^2 V_2(h/f) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{g_*}\right)^4 V_4(h/f) + \dots \right] \qquad m_* = g_* f$$

The large value of the top yukawa

$$y_{
m top} \sim Y_* rac{\lambda_q f}{M_Q} rac{\lambda_t f}{M_T} \sim 1$$

makes the top contribution (typically) responsible for triggering EWSB $_{\rm CONTINO}$ DA ROLD, POMAROL, '06 and since

$$m_H^2 \propto |\lambda|^4/g_*^4$$

We expect to have anomalously light top partners $M_\Psi \ll m_*$

LIGHT TOP PARTNERS AT THE LHC

We can see e.g. the $MCHM_5$, AC. GOERTZ. JHEP 1505 2015 002



f = 0.8 TeV, $g_* \sim 4.4$. $Y_*^{q} = 0.7$ is the maximum allowed "Yukawa"

Leptons are typically disregarded since one could naively expect $\lambda_\ell/g_* \ll 1.$ However,

- They are not just a scaled version of the quark sector
- The mixing angles in the lepton sector are highly non-hierarchical
- Neutrinos could have Majorana masses!



1 A 'normal' lepton sector will look like

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\ell}}} \bar{\ell}_{L} \mathcal{O}_{\ell} + \frac{\lambda_{e}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{e}}} \bar{e}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{e} + \frac{\lambda_{\Sigma}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\Sigma}}} \bar{\Sigma}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2} M_{\Sigma} \mathsf{Tr} \left(\bar{\Sigma}_{R}^{\mathsf{c}} \Sigma_{R} \right) + \mathsf{h.c.}$$

1 A 'normal' lepton sector will look like

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\ell}}} \bar{\ell}_{L} \mathcal{O}_{\ell} + \frac{\lambda_{e}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{e}}} \bar{e}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{e} + \frac{\lambda_{\Sigma}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\Sigma}}} \bar{\Sigma}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2} M_{\Sigma} \mathsf{Tr} \left(\bar{\Sigma}_{R}^{c} \Sigma_{R} \right) + \mathsf{h.c.}$$

2 Since $\|M_{\Sigma}\| \sim \Lambda \sim M_{\rm Pl}$, avoiding too small neutrino masses

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_{
u}
ight)_{\mathsf{light}} \sim v^{2} \epsilon_{\ell}^{2} \epsilon_{\Sigma}^{2} \left(\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}
ight)^{-1}, \quad \epsilon_{\ell,\Sigma} \sim \lambda_{\ell,\Sigma} \left(rac{\mu}{\Lambda}
ight)^{\gamma_{\ell,\Sigma}}$$

requires $0 \ll \epsilon_{\Sigma}$

1 A 'normal' lepton sector will look like

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{\lambda_{\ell}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\ell}}} \bar{\ell}_{L} \mathcal{O}_{\ell} + \frac{\lambda_{e}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{e}}} \bar{e}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{e} + \frac{\lambda_{\Sigma}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\Sigma}}} \bar{\Sigma}_{R} \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} - \frac{1}{2} M_{\Sigma} \mathsf{Tr} \left(\bar{\Sigma}_{R}^{\mathsf{c}} \Sigma_{R} \right) + \mathsf{h.c.}$$

2 Since $\|M_{\Sigma}\| \sim \Lambda \sim M_{\rm Pl}$, avoiding too small neutrino masses

$$\left(\mathcal{M}_{
u}
ight)_{\mathsf{light}} \sim \mathbf{v}^{2} \epsilon_{\ell}^{2} \epsilon_{\Sigma}^{2} \left(M_{\Sigma}
ight)^{-1}, \quad \epsilon_{\ell,\Sigma} \sim \lambda_{\ell,\Sigma} \left(rac{\mu}{\Lambda}
ight)^{\gamma_{\ell,\Sigma}}$$

requires $0 \ll \epsilon_{\Sigma}$

3 The $14 = (1, 1) \oplus (2, 2) \oplus (3, 3)$ of SO(5) makes possible to unify all the RH leptons in only one multiplet!

$$\mathcal{L} \supset rac{\lambda_L^{\ell}}{\Lambda^{\gamma_{\ell}}} \bar{\ell}_L \mathcal{O}_{\ell} + rac{\lambda_R}{\Lambda^{\gamma_R}} \bar{\Psi}_R \mathcal{O}_R - rac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\Sigma} \mathsf{Tr} \left(\bar{\Sigma}_R^c \Sigma_R
ight) + \mathsf{h.c.}$$

with $\Psi_R \supset e_R, \Sigma_R, \quad \mathcal{O}_{\ell} \sim \mathbf{5}$ and $\mathcal{O}_R \sim \mathbf{14}$

LIFTING THE TOP PARTNERS

This is really interesting since

- Since the contribution to the Higgs quartic from the 14 arises at $\mathcal{O}(\lambda_R^2/g_*^2)$, moderate values of λ_R can have an impact
- The three charged lepton RH fields will contribute to the potential



Vector resonances are ubiquitous in CHMs. In the particular case of

 $SO(5) \times U(1)_X / [SO(4) \times U(1)_X]$

one gets

$${f 10}=({f 3},{f 1})\oplus({f 1},{f 3})\oplus({f 2},{f 2}), \qquad {f 1}=({f 1},{f 1})$$



RH leptons are custodially symmetric and transform as $({\bf 1},{\bf 1})$ so they only couple (beforew EWSB) to the 'hypercharge' $({\bf 1},{\bf 1})$

Since

$$\mathcal{M}_{e} \sim \mathit{v}\epsilon_{\ell}$$
 and $(\mathcal{M}_{\nu})_{\mathsf{light}} \sim \mathit{v}^{2}\epsilon_{\ell}^{2}\epsilon_{R}^{2}\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma}^{-1},$

having hierarchical charged lepton masses and anarchical neutrino masses leads to

 $0 \ll \epsilon_R^\tau \ll \epsilon_R^\mu \ll \epsilon_R^e$

and to a violation of LFU







CONSTRAINTS

There are four irreducible constraints





 $\bigcirc pp \rightarrow \ell\ell$





 $B_s \rightarrow \ell \ell$

$$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B_{\rm s} \to \ell^+ \ell^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B_{\rm s} \to \ell^+ \ell^-)_{\rm SM}} = \left| 1 + \frac{C_{b{\rm g}\ell_R}^{\rm NP} - C_{b{\rm g}\ell_L}^{\rm NP} - C_{b{\rm g}\ell_R}^{\rm NP} + C_{b{\rm g}\ell_L}^{\rm NP}}{C_{b{\rm g}\ell_R}^{\rm SM} - C_{b{\rm g}\ell_L}^{\rm SM}} \right|^2$$



2. $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ MIXING

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Delta M_{B_s}}{\Delta M_{B_s}^{SM}} &\simeq 1 + \left(35.380 \operatorname{Re} C_V^{LR} - 10.530 \operatorname{Re} [C_V^{LL} + C_V^{RR}]\right) \operatorname{TeV}^2\\ \mathcal{O}_V^{XY} &= (\bar{s}\gamma_\mu P_X b) (\bar{s}\gamma^\mu P_Y b) \end{split}$$



2. $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing and $P_{5[4.3,8.68]}'$







• For $M_{Z'} \lesssim 3$ TeV, couplings to first generation quarks have to be small

GRELJO, MARZOCCA, '17

 MFV-like couplings to quarks is already excluded!

 \checkmark We have ~ 4 TeV Z's with very small couplings to light quarks

Moreover, by naturalness, one expects the channel $Z'\to L\ell$ to be open and dominate the branching ratio







Moreover, by naturalness, one expects the channel $Z'\to L\ell$ to be open and dominate the branching ratio







Moreover, by naturalness, one expects the channel $Z'\to L\ell$ to be open and dominate the branching ratio







4. EWPD

One of the biggest tensions arises from EWPD on four-fermion interactions

$$(e_R \gamma_\mu e_R)(e_R \gamma^\mu e_R) \sim \frac{g_*^2}{m_*^2} (\epsilon_{e_R})^4$$



WHAT ABOUT LFV?

In principle, one expects to generate dangerous FCNCs leading to extremely constrained lepton flavor violating processes

 $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma, \quad \mu \rightarrow 3e, \quad \mu - e \operatorname{conv}, \quad \tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma, \quad \dots$

Some of them are an issue even for elementary leptons!



BENEKE, MOCH, ROHRWILD, '15

We would like to have a global flavor symmetry in the Composite Sector \iff gauge symmetry in the bulk and the IR brane

5DMFV: FITZPATRICK, PEREZ, RANDALL, 07 , PEREZ, RANDALL, 08 , CSAKI, PEREZ, SURUJON, WEILER, 09



We would like to have a global flavor symmetry in the Composite Sector \iff gauge symmetry in the bulk and the IR brane

5DMFV: FITZPATRICK,PEREZ,RANDALL, 07 , PEREZ,RANDALL, 08 , CSAKI,PEREZ,SURUJON,WEILER, 09



Since we only have two 5D multiplets: $\zeta_{\ell L} \sim 5$ and $\zeta_{\ell R} \sim 14$, we make them triplets of $G_F = SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$

$$\zeta_L \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}) \qquad \zeta_R \sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})$$

We can then assume that all the breaking of G_F comes from one spurion

 $\mathcal{Y} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$

such that

$$c_L \equiv M_L R \sim \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger} \qquad c_R \equiv M_R R \sim \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Y}$$

and

$$m_S \sim \mathcal{Y} \qquad m_B \sim \mathcal{Y}$$

We can then assume that all the breaking of G_F comes from one spurion

 $\mathcal{Y} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \bar{\mathbf{3}})$

such that

$$c_L \equiv M_L R \sim \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger} \qquad c_R \equiv M_R R \sim \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Y}$$

and

$$m_S \sim \mathcal{Y}$$
 $m_B \sim \mathcal{Y}$

• By unifying all RH fields we sit in the 'alignment' limit of 5DMFV

We can then assume that all the breaking of G_F comes from one spurion

 $\mathcal{Y} \sim (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{ar{3}})$

such that

$$c_L \equiv M_L R \sim \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger} \qquad c_R \equiv M_R R \sim \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Y}^{\dagger} \mathcal{Y}$$

and

$$m_S \sim \mathcal{Y} \qquad m_B \sim \mathcal{Y}$$

- By unifying all RH fields we sit in the 'alignment' limit of 5DMFV
- Then, all the flavor mixing comes via the Majorana masses!

CONCLUSIONS

- R_K and R_{K^*} provide very clean probes of higher energies
- Both R_K and R_{K^*} anomalies can be succesfully addresed with NP in the RH electron sector
- This can happen naturally in CHMs with a 'minimal' type-III seesaw
- In this setup, the absence of top partners can be translated into LFU!
- Observed values in other observables like $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-, \Delta M_{B_s}$ or P_5' can be reproduced
- Therefore, $R_{\rm K} < 1$ and $R_{\rm K^*} < 1$ could be the first probe of the dynamics of EWSB

THANKS!

BACK - UP SLIDES

$B \to K \ell^+ \ell^-$

The individual branching fractions are given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}(B \to K\ell^+\ell^-)}{dq^2} = \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2 |V_{tb}V_{ts}^*|^2}{(4\pi)^5 m_B^3} ([m_{B-K^*}^2]^2 - 2m_{B+K^*}^2 q^2 + q^4)^{\frac{3}{2}} (|F_V|^2 + |F_A|^2)$$

where

$$F_{V}(q^{2}) = (C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{R}} + C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{L}} + C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{L}} + C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{R}})/2 f_{+}(q^{2}) + \frac{2m_{b}}{m_{B} + m_{K}} (C_{7} + C_{7}')f_{T}(q^{2}) + h_{K}(q^{2}) F_{A}(q^{2}) = (C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{R}} - C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{L}} - C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{L}} + C_{b_{\Re}\ell_{R}})/2 f_{+}(q^{2})$$

 $m^2_{A\pm B}\equiv m^2_A\pm m^2_B,$ and we neglected lepton masses, CP violation, and higher order corrections

$$B^0
ightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-)}{dq^2} \simeq \tau_{B^0} \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2 |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2}{3 \cdot (4\pi)^5 m_B^3} ([m_{B-K^*}^2]^2 - 2m_{B+K^*}^2 q^2 + q^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^2 (|A_\perp^{\ell L}|^2 + |A_\parallel^L|^2 + |A_0^L|^2 + L \to R)$$

$$\begin{split} A_{\perp}^{\ell L,R} &= +\sqrt{2}m_B(1 - q^2/m_B^2) \left[C_{b \in \ell_{L,R}} + C_{b \in R}\ell_{L,R}\right] \xi_{\perp} \\ A_{\parallel}^{\ell L,R} &= -\sqrt{2}m_B(1 - q^2/m_B^2) \left[C_{b \in \ell_{L,R}} - C_{b \in R}\ell_{L,R}\right] \xi_{\perp} \\ A_{0}^{\ell L,R} &= -\frac{m_B^3(1 - q^2/m_B^2)^2}{2|q|m_{K^*}} \left[C_{b \in \ell_{L,R}} - C_{b \in R}\ell_{L,R}\right] \xi_{\parallel} \end{split}$$

Defining the integrated form factors

$$\begin{split} g_{\perp,\parallel,0}^{[q_{\min}^2,q_{\max}^2]} &= \int_{q_{\min}^2}^{q_{\max}^2} dq^2 ([m_{B-K^*}^2]^2 - 2m_{B+K^*}^2 q^2 + q^4)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2(q^3 - m_B^2 q)^2}{m_B^2} \\ &\times \left\{ |\xi_{\perp}|^2, \ |\xi_{\perp}|^2, \ \frac{(m_B^2 - q^2)^2}{8q^2 m_{K^*}^2} |\xi_{\parallel}|^2 \right\}, \quad p \equiv \frac{g_0 + g_{\parallel}}{g_0 + g_{\parallel} + g_{\perp}} \end{split}$$

 $B_s-ar{B}_s$ MIXING



TALKING TO FERMIONS

A priori, we have two different ways of introducing the mixing with the elementary fermions:

1 Quadratically, à la Technicolor

$$\frac{\lambda}{\Lambda^{\gamma}}\bar{q}_{L}t_{R}\mathcal{O}(x), \quad [\mathcal{O}(x)] = 1 + \gamma \Longrightarrow m_{q} \sim f\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^{\gamma}, \qquad \gamma > 0$$

2 Linearly, via partial compositeness KAPLAN '91

$$\begin{split} \frac{\lambda_L}{\Lambda^{\gamma_L}} q_L \mathcal{O}_L(x), \quad \frac{\lambda_R}{\Lambda^{\gamma_R}} t_R \mathcal{O}_R(x), \quad [\mathcal{O}_{L,R}(x)] = 5/2 + \gamma_{L,R}, \qquad \gamma_{L,R} > -1 \\ \Rightarrow m_q \sim v \frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^{\gamma_L + \gamma_R} \quad \text{or} \quad m_q \sim v \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{N}} \sqrt{\gamma_L \gamma_R} \end{split}$$

Very well mimicked by Randal-Sundrum models!

ADS/CFT CORRESPONDENCE

- Models with warped extra dimensions are weakly duals to strongly coupled 4D theories MALDACENA '98
- They provide a calculable framework for composite Higgs models



 The 5D realizations of models where the Higgs is a pNGB are models of gauge-Higgs unification (GHU), π^a(x) ~ A^a₅(x)

ADS/CFT CORRESPONDENCE

We can explain the huge hierarchy existing between the different fermion masses



We also obtain naturally the hierarchical mixing observed in the quark sector

$$\left| U_L^{u,d} \right|_{ij} \sim f_i^q / f_j^q \qquad \left| U_R^{u,d} \right|_{ij} \sim f_i^{u,d} / f_j^{u,d} \qquad i \leq j$$

COMPOSITE RH NEUTRINOS

When the operator

$$\frac{\lambda_R}{\Lambda^{\gamma_R}}\bar{\Psi}_R\mathcal{O}_R$$

is relevant, i.e., $\gamma_{\it R} < 0$, a very large kinetic term is induced

$$\begin{split} \frac{\lambda_R^2}{\Lambda^{2\gamma_R}} \int \mathrm{d}^4 p \, \mathrm{d}^4 q \; \bar{\Psi}_R(-p) \langle \mathcal{O}_R(p) \bar{\mathcal{O}}_R(-q) \rangle \Psi_R(q) \\ &\sim \lambda_R^2 \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^{2\gamma_R} \int \mathrm{d}^4 x \; \bar{\Psi}_R(x) i \not \partial \Psi_R(x) \end{split}$$

Canonically normalizing Ψ_R requires

$$\Psi_R \to \frac{1}{\lambda_R} \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^{-\gamma_R} \Psi_R$$

and leads to $M_\Sigma o M_\Sigma \lambda_R^{-2} (\mu/\Lambda)^{-2\gamma_R}$ and

$$M_D \sim v \lambda_\ell \left(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda}\right)^{\gamma_L}$$

EWPD

For elementary fermions and a composite Higgs,

$$\hat{T} \sim [\hat{\alpha} - 2\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma}], \qquad \hat{S} \sim [-\hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma}], \qquad W = Y \sim \hat{\gamma}$$

where



$\hat{T} \gg \hat{S} \gg W, Y$

We can make \hat{T} and $\delta Z \bar{\ell}_R \ell_R$ small enough thanks to our custodial setup AGASHE DELGADO MAY SUNDRUM. '03 AGASHE CONTINO DA ROLD POMAROL. '06