

Particle Physics in the Early Universe (FS 17)

EXERCISE SERIES 1

Dr. Achilleas Lazopoulos

27.04.2017

Exercise 1

To Einstein's equations as quickly as possible (following A. Zee: Gravity in a Nutshell)

- (i) General Relativity postulates a connection between matter (or energy) that fills space and the geometry of that space. The metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is seen as a dynamical quantity that changes from point to point in space-time, according to the corresponding equations of motion. We want to start from a field theoretical approach here, according to which, the equations of motion can be derived from minimizing an action, i.e. the integral over space-time of some Lagrangian density, with respect to varying the dynamical field, i.e. the metric. Let's begin from the action of some field as seen in Quantum Field Theory

$$I = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi(x)) \quad (1)$$

However, in curved spacetime, one can choose any kind of coordinates to describe it. Hence the action should be invariant under a general coordinate transformation

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = S^\mu_\nu x^\nu \quad (2)$$

The Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\phi(x))$ should be a scalar under general coordinate transformations. The same, then, should be true for the integral measure. Show that the measure d^4x is not invariant under the transformation in eq.2. Show, however, that the quantity

$$d^4x \sqrt{-g} \quad , \quad g \equiv \det(g_{\mu\nu}) \quad (3)$$

is invariant. Hence we should look for an action of the form

$$I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}(\phi(x)) \quad (4)$$

- (ii) Postulate that the Lagrangian is a scalar function of the 'field', i.e. the metric, and it should contain a term with two derivatives with respect to the metric, in the same way that the Lagrangian of a field has a 'kinetic' term with two derivatives.

- (iii) Gravity is related to curvature, and curvature expresses the failure of commutativity in differentiation. So let's start with a covariant vector B_ρ . Show that the derivative of this object, $\vartheta_\mu B_\rho$ does not transform as a second rank tensor, under eq. 2. Instead, show that we have to define a new derivative, the covariant derivative,

$$D_\mu B_\rho \equiv \vartheta_\mu B_\rho - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\sigma B_\sigma \quad (5)$$

and fix the transformation properties of the object $\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\sigma$ such $D_\mu B_\rho$ transforms as a second rank covariant tensor:

$$\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\prime\sigma} = (S^{-1})_\mu^\beta (S^{-1})_\rho^\gamma S_\alpha^\sigma \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha + S_\alpha^\sigma \frac{\vartheta^2 x^\sigma}{\vartheta x'^\mu \vartheta x'^\rho} \quad (6)$$

Note that the covariant derivative defined here is completely analogous to the covariant derivative defined for gauge fields.

- (iv) The non-commutativity of derivatives is expressed by defining the Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\sigma$ from

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] B_\rho \equiv -R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\sigma B_\sigma \quad (7)$$

Show that

$$R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\sigma = (\vartheta_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\sigma + \Gamma_{\mu\kappa}^\sigma \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\kappa) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \quad (8)$$

Taking into account that (we don't show this here)

$$\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\tau} (\vartheta_\mu g_{\nu\tau} + \vartheta_\nu g_{\rho\tau} - \vartheta_\tau g_{\nu\rho}) \quad (9)$$

note that $R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\sigma$ contains terms with two derivatives, so it's the object we are looking for.

- (v) Show that $R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\lambda = -R_{\rho\nu\mu}^\lambda$, $R_{\tau\rho\mu\nu} = -R_{\rho\tau\nu\mu}$, $R_{\tau\rho\mu\nu} = -R_{\mu\nu\tau\rho}$. Hence show that out of the Riemann tensor you can only construct one rank-2 tensor, the Ricci tensor

$$R_{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\tau\rho} R_{\tau\mu\rho\nu} \quad (10)$$

and one scalar

$$R \equiv g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} \quad (11)$$

- (vi) We are thus led to the action (called the Einstein-Hilbert action) for empty space-time

$$I_{EH} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} C R(x) \quad (12)$$

Vary the action with respect to the metric, $g_{\mu\nu}$ to get Einstein's equation in empty space:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = 0 \quad (13)$$

Note: when you vary the action and you require $\delta I = 0$, there are three terms inside the integral:

$$\delta I_{EH} = \int d^4x \delta(\sqrt{-g}) C g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} C \delta(g^{\mu\nu}) R_{\mu\nu} + \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} C g^{\mu\nu} \delta(R_{\mu\nu}) \quad (14)$$

The last term is in fact a total derivative, so it does not contribute to the action. If you want to derive this, look at section VI.5 of Zee's book.

Note: You will need the identity $\delta\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu}$. If you want to prove this, you need to use $\log(\det M) = \text{Tr}(\log M)$, for $g_{\mu\nu}$ in the place of the matrix M .