

# Lecture 6

## Vacua in Yang-Mills theories and the strong CP problem

Let us consider pure  $SU(3)$  Yang-Mills theory (pure QCD)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} [G_{\mu\nu}^a G_{\mu\nu}^a] \quad \text{with} \quad G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + g [A_\mu, A_\nu]$$

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a t^a \quad a=1, \dots, 8$$

Due to gauge invariance, various field configurations connected by a gauge transformation, lead to the same physics; they are physically equivalent.

A gauge trs. sends  $A_\mu \rightarrow U(x) A_\mu U^\dagger(x) + i U(x) (\partial_\mu U^\dagger(x))$

where  $U(x)$  is an  $SU(3)$  matrix dependent on  $x$ .

We will (partially) fix the gauge by demanding  $A_0(x) = 0$ .

This still leaves gauge freedom (there are 3 d.o.f. left)

$$\text{Then } A_i(x) \rightarrow U A_i U^\dagger + i U \partial_i U^\dagger$$

$$A_0(x) \rightarrow U A_0 U^\dagger + i U \partial_0 U^\dagger$$

So to keep  $A_0(x) = 0$  we need to constrain ourselves to  $U(\vec{x}, t)$ , a function of  $\vec{x}$  only.

Question: Are there any field configurations that minimize the action except  $A_i(\vec{x}) = 0$  for all  $\vec{x}$ ? make the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(F_{ij} F_{ij})$$

To minimize the action we need  $F_{ij}(\vec{x}) = 0$  for which

it's only necessary that  $A_i(\vec{x})$  is a "pure gauge", i.e.

$$A_i(\vec{x}) = U \partial_i U^\dagger(\vec{x})$$

Moreover, we want a finite classical action, so we need  $U(\vec{x}) \rightarrow 1$  as  $|\vec{x}| \rightarrow \infty$

The 3-d space becomes, then, a compactified  $R^3$  as far as  $U(\vec{x})$  is concerned, so  $U(x)$  is a map from  $S^3 \rightarrow G$  where  $G$  is the manifold of  $SU(3)$ .

Let us, for the moment, constrain ourselves to  $U(\vec{x}) \in SU(2)$  subgroup of  $SU(3)$ . Then  $G = S^3$ , and we are looking at maps from  $S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ .

Intermezzo: homotopy groups  $\pi_d(M)$ : groups whose elements are equivalent classes of mappings from  $S^d \rightarrow M$  that are continuously deformable among themselves.

$S^1 \rightarrow S^1$

The group is  $U(1)$  in  $d=1$  spatial dim.

- 1)  $U(\theta) = e^{i\theta}$ ,  $\theta \in S_1 = [0, 2\pi)$
- The compactified  $R^1$  is also  $S^1$

2) Standard mappings

$$U_0(\theta) = 1$$

$$U_1(\theta) = e^{i\theta}$$

$$U_n(\theta) = (e^{i\theta})^n = e^{in\theta}$$

3)  $n = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta U \frac{d}{d\theta} U^\dagger$

is invariant under deformations

- 4) Define  $K_\mu = \frac{i}{2\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} A_\nu$
- where  $A_\nu = i U \partial_\nu U^\dagger$

Then  $Q = \int d^2x g_\mu K_\mu$

$S^3 \rightarrow S^3$

The group is  $SU(2)$  in  $d=3$  spatial

- 1)  $U(\vec{x}) = a(\vec{x}) + i \vec{b}(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{\sigma}$
- with  $a + |\vec{b}|^2 = 1$
- so  $M = S^3$ . Also  $R^3$  compactified is  $S^3$

2)  $U_n(\vec{x}) = -e^{i\pi \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{(\vec{x}^2 + r^2)^{1/2}}}$

~~$U_n(\vec{x}) = [U_1(\vec{x})]^n$~~

3)  $U_1(x) = \frac{\vec{x}^2 - d^2}{\vec{x}^2 + d^2} \mathbb{1} + 2id \frac{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\sigma}}{\vec{x}^2 + d^2}$

3)  $n = \frac{i g_s^3}{24\pi^2} \int d^3x \text{tr}[A_i A_j A_k] \epsilon^{ijk}$

with  $A_i = i U \partial_i U^\dagger$

is invariant under deformations

4)  $K_\mu = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \left[ A_\nu^\alpha g_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha + f^{abc} A_\nu^\alpha A_\rho^\beta A_\sigma^\gamma \right]$

Then  $Q = \int d^4x g_\mu K_\mu$

Pontryagin index

Chern-Simons current

Consider now a field configuration that at  $t = -\infty$  has a winding number  $n_1$  and at  $t = +\infty$  has winding number  $n_2$

Then the "instanton" has a topological charge  $Q$

$$Q \equiv \frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^a * F_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \int d^4x \partial_\mu K^\mu$$

$$= \frac{g^2}{32\pi^2} \int dx^3 K^0 \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} = n_2 - n_1 \neq 0.$$

Conclusion: the vacua of  $SU(N)$  can be classified in homotopy classes

You cannot perform a continuous transformation from one class to the other ~~with~~ without passing from configurations that are not vacua!

This means that the true vacuum is a superposition of the pre-vacua with winding numbers  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . How to deal with this in the path integral?

Heuristic derivation on Euclidian path integral

The expectation value of a localized operator  $\hat{O}(\phi)$  is

$$\langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}} = \frac{\sum_n f(n) \int_n [d\phi] e^{I_{\mathbb{E}}[\phi]} O(\phi)}{\sum_n f(n) \int_n [d\phi] e^{I_{\mathbb{E}}[\phi]}}$$

Let's imagine  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_1 + \mathbb{E}_2$  and  $O(\phi)$  is only non-zero at  $\mathbb{E}_1$  (large volume)

$$\text{Then } \langle \hat{O} \rangle_{\mathbb{E}} = \frac{\sum_{n_1, n_2} f(n_1 + n_2) \int_{n_1} [d\phi] e^{I_{\mathbb{E}_1}[\phi]} O(\phi) \int_{n_2} [d\phi] e^{I_{\mathbb{E}_2}[\phi]}}{\sum_{n_1, n_2} f(n_1 + n_2) \int_{n_1} [d\phi] e^{I_{\mathbb{E}_1}[\phi]} \int_{n_2} [d\phi] e^{I_{\mathbb{E}_2}[\phi]}}$$

For this to work we need  $f(n_1 + n_2) = f(n_1) \cdot f(n_2)$ , i.e.  $f(n) = e^{in\theta}$

Since  $n = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr}(F \tilde{F})$  we have ~~an~~ an extra term (A)  
 in the Lagrangian:  $\frac{\theta g^2}{32\pi^2} \text{Tr}(F \tilde{F})$

Note that  $\theta$  is a constant in QCD (it defines the theory), not a variable.

Note also that  $\theta \neq 0$  induces P and T violation. It's actually the only operator that can do so.

However P and CP=T are experimentally seen to be conserved to a very high degree: the neutron electric dipole moment is the stringest constraint. This means that  $\theta \leq 10^{-9}$ .

Why is  $\theta$  so small? This is the "strong CP problem" in QCD. It spoils the naturalness of QCD.

Note: The chiral connection

We have seen that, once adding massless fermions, the QCD Lagrangian is chirally invariant. In particular, though, the axial current, corresponding to a transformation  $\psi_f \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_f \gamma_5} \psi_f$  is inducing, via the path integral measure a new term in  $d$

$$[d\psi][d\bar{\psi}] \rightarrow [d\psi][d\bar{\psi}] e^{i/32\pi^2 \int d^4x \text{Tr}(F \tilde{F}) \sum_f \alpha_f}$$

This is entirely equivalent to  $\theta \rightarrow \theta + 2 \sum_f \alpha_f$

Such a trans. would affect non-chirally invariant mass terms, so

$$L_m = \sum_f (M_f \bar{\psi}_L \psi_R + M_f^* \bar{\psi}_R \psi_L)$$

would pick up  $M_f \rightarrow e^{i\alpha_f} M_f$ .

} Rotating away the  $\theta$  results in complex phases for the mass matrices.