# **Typical HEP analysis steps**





#### **Standard skeleton**



@LHC 40 MHz (one bunch crossing every 25 nsec)
—> cannot store all collisions, you need to select *what you want*



### **Standard skeleton**



The events that pass the L1 selection will be filtered by another trigger layer

#### High Level Trigger (HLT):

reconstruct the full event (online resolution) e.g. photons pT> x GeV muon pT > y GeV jets > z GeV MET > w GeV 100kHz —> 1 KHz (200 msec to take a decision)

If an event is discarded it is lost forever...





Once an event is accepted you reconstruct it with the best available algorithms and calibrations (offline resolution) and store it. This is (one of) your dataset





You will need several simulated data set to:

- build a model of the signal you are searching / measuring
- (model background)
- tune object selection
- etc...



#### **Simulations in HEP**

Generator: from theory to final states. E.g. gluon gluon —> H —> photons



Hard scatter (matrix elements + pdf), initial/final state radiation, parton showering / hadronization and decays to the final states Compute the full kinematics of the process and get the distribution of the observables (typically impossible to derive with analytical methods) Some examples: Pythia8 and Herwig, Powheg, MadGraph5\_aMCatNLO, Algpen, ... —> particle level events

Detector simulation: "simulation + digitization"

Simulation = propagate the particles through the detector simulating their interactions (energy deposits) with all the sensitive and passive materials. This is done using "physics libraries" describing the interactions Some examples: Geant 4, FLUKA, MARS, etc...



Digitization = simulate how the energy deposited in the different sensitive materials is transformed into electrical/optical signals (deterministic effects (amplifiers, shapers, ...) and random effects (noise, calibration inaccuracies, ...)

o(100 sec)/ ttbar event

Reconstruction: low level objects: hits/clusters reconstruction high level objects: tracks, energy superclusters, jets, etc...



photon, muon, electron, tau identification b/c tagging vs light jets







. . .



2 oppositely charged isolated leptons (e/mu min pT > 20/10 GeV) Missing Transverse Energy from neutrinos (>20GeV) jets pT > 30 GeV (veto b-jets)  $m_{\parallel} > 12 \text{ GeV}$   $pT_{\parallel} > 30 \text{ GeV}$ mT > 30 GeV where  $m_{T}^{2} = 2p_{T}^{\ell\ell}E_{T}^{miss}(1 - \cos\Delta\phi(\ell\ell, \vec{E}_{T}^{miss}))$ 





Split events into mutually exclusive categories allows one to improve the analysis sensitivity



#### **Events classification**

Classify events allows the analysis to achieve better sensitivity / better parameters constraints. The reason is that you can make more accurate assumptions on how to model the data in one category (locally) than on the overall sample (globally).

Assume you have large enough statistics, the significance (see later in this lectures) is

given by  $Z = \frac{S}{\sqrt{B}}$ 

Now, suppose you data in two categories:  $Z_i = \frac{S_i}{\sqrt{B_i}}$  i = 1,2 (S<sub>i</sub>, B<sub>i</sub>>0) The combined statistical significance of the two categories is:  $Z_{cat} = \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{B_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{B_2}}$ The statistical significance of the signal without categories is:  $Z = \frac{S_1 + S_2}{S_1 + S_2}$ 

The statistical significance of the signal without categories is:  $Z = \frac{S_1 + S_2}{\sqrt{B_1 + B_2}}$ (total Signal = S<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>2</sub>, Total background B<sub>1</sub>+B<sub>2</sub>)

If you compare the two: 
$$Z_{cat}^2 - Z^2 = \frac{S_1^2}{B_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{B_2} - \frac{(S_1 + S_2)^2}{B_1 + B_2} = \frac{B_1 B_2}{B_1 + B_2} \left(\frac{S_1}{B_1} - \frac{S_2}{B_2}\right)^2$$

which is always > 0 unless S1/B1 = S2/B2. From a statistics point of view you always improve your analysis sensitivity by categorising the events.

Mauro Donegà

