

Hamilton mechanics:

1

$$\dot{q}_i = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \quad \dot{p}_i = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \quad H = H(\{q_i\}, \{p_i\}; t)$$

can write it also as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_i \\ \dot{p}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ -\mathbb{1}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \\ \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\dot{\vec{w}} = J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{w}}$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1} \\ -\mathbb{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is } 2n \times 2n \text{ symplectic matrix : } J^T = -J$$

A transform. ~~is canonical~~ $Q_i = Q_i(\{q_j\}, \{p_j\}; t)$ is canonical
 $P_i = P_i(\{q_j\}, \{p_j\}; t)$

if there is a fun. $K = K(\{Q_j\}, \{P_j\}; t)$ s.t.

$$\dot{Q}_i = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P_i} \quad \dot{P}_i = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial Q_i}$$

Take a restricted (i.e. does not depend on t) canonical transf.

$$\vec{Q} = \vec{Q}(\vec{w}) \rightarrow \dot{\vec{Q}} = M \dot{\vec{w}} \text{ or } \dot{Q}_i = \underbrace{\frac{\partial Q_i}{\partial w_j}}_{M_{ij}} \dot{w}_j$$

then Ham. eq's : $\dot{\vec{Q}} = M J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{w}}$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial Q_j} \frac{\partial Q_j}{\partial w_i} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{w}} = M^T \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{Q}}$$

$$\dot{\vec{Q}} = M J M^T \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{Q}}$$

but if canonical $\dot{\vec{Q}} = J \frac{\partial H}{\partial \vec{Q}} \Rightarrow \boxed{M J M^T = J}$ which ~~is a~~ ^{is a} property of sympl. matrix
Sympl. group $J \in Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$

The goal of a can. transf. is to change variables so the system can be more easily integrated. [2]

Ex. if a coord. is cyclic: $\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} = 0 \Rightarrow p_i = \text{const.}$

E.g. the harm. osc.: $H = \frac{1}{2m} (p^2 + m^2 \omega^2 q^2)$ w/ $k = m\omega^2$
 $= \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{kq^2}{2}$

take the (inverse) can. transf. $\left. \begin{array}{l} p = \sqrt{2m\omega} P' \cos Q \\ q = \sqrt{\frac{2P'}{m\omega}} \sin Q \end{array} \right\}$

then ~~H~~ $K = H = \omega P' = E$ now $\frac{\partial H}{\partial Q} = 0 \Rightarrow P' = \text{const.}$
 $\left. \begin{array}{l} \dot{Q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P'} = \omega \Rightarrow Q(t) = \omega t + \alpha \end{array} \right\}$

so $\left. \begin{array}{l} p = \sqrt{2mE} \cos(\omega t + \alpha) \\ q = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{m\omega^2}} \sin(\omega t + \alpha) \end{array} \right\}$ ✓

note that $\frac{q^2}{\frac{2E}{m\omega^2}} + \frac{p^2}{2mE} = 1$ is an ellipse

In general, suppose that $F(\{q\}, \{p\}, t)$ is an integral of the

eq. of motion : $\frac{dF}{dt} = 0$

now $\frac{dF}{dt} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \dot{p}_i \right)$

$= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i} \right)$

$= \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \{F, H\}$

w/ $\{F, G\} = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial G}{\partial q_i} \right)$

Poisson br.

so for an IEM: $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \{F, H\} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = \{H, F\}$

^{the IEM}
if F does not depend on t : $\{H, F\} = 0$

$\{q_i, q_k\} = \{p_i, p_k\} = 0 \quad \{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$

$\{\vec{\omega}, \vec{\omega}\} = J$

go to new variables : $\vec{\Omega} = \vec{\Omega}(\vec{\omega})$

then $\{\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Omega}\} = \left(\frac{\partial \vec{\Omega}}{\partial \vec{\omega}} \right)^T J \frac{\partial \vec{\Omega}}{\partial \vec{\omega}}$

$\{\Omega_i, \Omega_k\} = \frac{\partial \Omega_i}{\partial \omega_j} \{ \omega_j, \omega_l \} \frac{\partial \Omega_k}{\partial \omega_l}$

$\{\vec{\Omega}, \vec{\Omega}\} = \frac{\partial \vec{\Omega}}{\partial \vec{\omega}} J \left(\frac{\partial \vec{\Omega}}{\partial \vec{\omega}} \right)^T = M J M^T \stackrel{\text{if canonical}}{=} J = \{\vec{\omega}, \vec{\omega}\}$

so the P.B. is a canonical invariant.

Properties of P.B: antisym: $\{F, G\} = -\{G, F\}$

linear: $\{aF + bG, H\} = a\{F, H\} + b\{G, H\}$

prod: $\{FG, H\} = \{F, H\}G + F\{G, H\}$

Jacobi id: $\{\{F, G\}, H\} + \{\{G, H\}, F\} + \{\{H, F\}, G\} = 0$

Poisson theo: If I_1, I_2 are IEM, then

$I_3 = \{I_1, I_2\}$ is an IEM

use Jacobi id. to prove it.

I_3 depends on I_1, I_2

Two IEM are in involution if $\{I_1, I_2\} = 0$

$$\text{Ex: } \{J_i, J_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} J_k$$

for } free particle

$$\{P_i, J_j\} = \epsilon_{ijk} P_k$$

~~for~~ } Kepler problem

If J_x, J_y ^{are} IEM $\Rightarrow J_z$ ^{is} IEM

however J_x, J_y are not in involution

$$\{J^2, J_z\} = 0 \Rightarrow J^2, J_z \text{ are in involution}$$

A system is called (Liouville) integrable if it has n IEM $I_j, j=1, \dots, n$

which are in involution $\{I_i, I_j\} = 0 \quad \forall i, j$

Consider 2 matrices M, L . If the eq. of motion is

$$\dot{L} = [M, L] \text{ then } M, L \text{ are a Lax pair}$$

L generates IEM: take $F_k = \text{tr } L^k$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \dot{F}_k &= \text{tr } \dot{L}^k = -k \text{tr} (L^{k-1} [L, M]) \\ &= -k \text{tr} (L^k M - L^{k-1} M L) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow F_k = \text{tr } L^k = \text{const.} \Rightarrow$ eigenvalues of L are constant (IEM)

Note that $L(t) = g(t) L(0) g^{-1}(t)$ $M = \dot{g}(t) g^{-1}(t)$

is a solution to Lax eq: $\dot{L} = [M, L]$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{in fact: } \dot{L}(t) &= \dot{g}(t) L(0) g^{-1}(t) - g(t) L(0) \dot{g}(t) g^{-2}(t) \\ &= M L - L M \end{aligned}$$

Ex: the harmonic oscillator

Define the Lax pair: $L = \begin{pmatrix} p & m\omega q \\ m\omega q & -p \end{pmatrix}$ $M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\omega}{2} \\ \frac{\omega}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

then $\dot{L} = [M, L] \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \dot{p} & m\omega \dot{q} \\ m\omega \dot{q} & -\dot{p} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -m\omega^2 q & \omega p \\ \omega p & m\omega^2 q \end{pmatrix}$ (check it)

which yields the usual eq's: $\begin{cases} \dot{p} = -m\omega^2 q \\ \dot{q} = \frac{p}{m} \end{cases}$

furthermore, $F_1 = \text{tr } L = 0$

6

$$L^2 = \begin{pmatrix} p^2 + m^2 \omega^2 q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & p^2 + m^2 \omega^2 q^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2mE & 0 \\ 0 & 2mE \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } F_2 = \text{tr } L^2 = 4mH \Rightarrow \boxed{H = \frac{1}{4m} \text{tr } L^2}$$

One can consider Lax pairs dependent on a spectral param. λ

$$\text{Then } F_k(\lambda) = \text{tr } L^k(\lambda) = \sum_i F_{k,i} \lambda^i$$

then each $F_{k,i}$ is a conserved quantity on EM

R-matrix

7

L, M L diagonalizable: $L = U \Lambda U^{-1}$

Λ diagonal $\Rightarrow \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$; λ_i $i=1, \dots, n$
are conserved quantities

Let $E^i \in \mathfrak{N}$ basis vectors $\Rightarrow E^i_j$: matrix unities

$$\text{s.t. } E^i_j E^k = \delta^k_j E^i$$

we can write $L = L^i_j E^j_i$ i.e. L^i_j are entries of Lax matrix

We want to compute P.B.'s between L^i_j , i.e. compute $\{L^i_j, L^k_l\}$

Embed Lax matrix in double tensor prod:

$$L_1 = L \otimes 1 = L^i_j E^j_i \otimes 1$$

$$L_2 = 1 \otimes L = L^i_j 1 \otimes E^j_i$$

so the index refers to the space in which the matrix is embedded.

Consider matr. which act on tensor prod:

$$T_{12} = T^{\tilde{ij}}_{kl} E^k_i \otimes E^l_j$$

$$T_{21} = T^{\tilde{ij}}_{kl} E^l_j \otimes E^k_i \quad \text{is } T_{12} \text{ permuted}$$

Eigenvalues of Lax matrix are in involution iff there is fun. r_{12}

$$\text{s.t. } \{L_1, L_2\} = [r_{12}, L_1] - [r_{21}, L_2] \quad (*) \text{ (no proof) given}$$

$$\text{where } r_{12} = \varrho_{12} + \frac{1}{2} [k_{12}, L_2]$$

$$\text{w/ } k_{12} = \{U_1, U_2\} U_1^{-1} U_2^{-1} \quad \varrho_{12} = U_2 \{U_1, \Lambda_2\} U_1^{-1} U_2^{-1}$$

Poisson brackets satisfy Jacobi id's. The one related to \star

requires that

$$\{L_1, [r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + \{L_2, r_{13}\} - \{L_3, r_{12}\}\} + \text{cycl. perm.} = 0$$

If r is a constant, (i.e. does not depend on P_j), then Jacobi is satisfied if

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{23}, r_{13}] = 0 \quad \square)$$

If r is antisymmetric, then $r_{21} = -r_{12}$

and $\square)$ is called Yang-Baxter eq.

For the harmonic oscillator,

~~$$r = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$~~

r is dynamical; it is not a constant

$$r_{12} = -\frac{\omega}{4m\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes L$$

Lax and Monodromy

After having looked at 1-dim. systems (which depend on t)
in classical mechanics, let us look at field theories in 1+1
dimensions. One calls a field theory integrable if the field eq's
satisfy $\partial_t L - \partial_x M = [M, L]$ for a pair of matrices L, M .

This is called a Lax connection. The monodromy can be
understood if choose $M = A^{(t)}$ $L = A^{(x)}$

$$\text{then } \partial_t A^{(x)} - \partial_x A^{(t)} + [A^{(x)}, A^{(t)}] = 0$$

~~The Lax connection can be seen as a connection,~~

Consider a system w/ a Lax connection and a spectral param. u
and periodic b.c.'s. Introduce the monodromy matrix as
the path ordered exp:

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &\equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \int_0^{2\pi} dx L(x, t, u) \\ &= \mathbb{1} + \int_0^{2\pi} dx_1 L(x_1) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} dx_1 \int_{x_1}^{2\pi} dx_2 L(x_1) L(x_2) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that ~~the~~

10

$$\boxed{\partial_t T(u) = [M(0), T]}$$

Then the transfer matrix, defined as $z = \text{tr } T(u)$,

$$\text{has } \partial_t z = \text{tr } \partial_t T(u) = \text{tr } [M(0), T] = 0$$

so $z(u) = \sum_i Q_i u^i$ is conserved for all values of u .

So, expanding z ~~about~~ as a series in u , about some point, generates an infinite tower of IEM.

P.S. Monodromy comes from the auxiliary ^{linear} problem to the Lax connect.

$$\text{Suppose there is a fn. } \psi \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} (\partial_x - L) \psi = 0 \\ (\partial_t - M) \psi = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } \begin{cases} \partial_t \partial_x \psi = \partial_t (L\psi) = (\partial_t L) \psi + L M \psi \\ \partial_x \partial_t \psi = \partial_x (M\psi) = (\partial_x M) \psi + M L \psi \end{cases}$$

$$\text{so } \partial_t \partial_x \psi = \partial_x \partial_t \psi \Leftrightarrow \partial_t L - \partial_x M = [M, L] \quad \text{i.e. the Lax connect.}$$

Now, suppose ~~the~~ there is a matrix A s.t. $\psi(2\pi, t) = A \psi(0, t)$

$$\text{then } 0 = \partial_t [\psi(2\pi, t) - A \psi(0, t)]$$

$$0 = M(2\pi) \psi(2\pi, t) - (\partial_t A) \psi(0, t) - A M(0) \psi(0, t)$$

$$0 = M(0) A \psi(0, t) \quad = \quad = \quad \text{since } M(2\pi) = M(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\partial_t A - [M(0), A]) \psi(0, t) = 0$$

so $\partial_t A = [H(0), A]$ i.e. A satisfies the same eq. as T ||

therefore we can take $\psi(2\bar{u}, t) = T(u) \psi(0, t)$

r matrix

The condition $\{L_1, L_2\} = [r_{12}, L_1] - [r_{21}, L_2]$

for the eigenvalues of a Lax matrix to be in involution in a mech. system

translates in a field theory in the condition:

$$\{L_1(x, t, u), L_2(y, t, v)\} = [r_{12}(u-v), L_1(x, t, u) + L_2(y, t, v)] \delta(x-y)$$

$$w/ \quad r_{21}(-u) = r_{12}(u)$$

Imposing the Jacobi identity, this implies:

$$[r_{12}(u_1 - u_2), r_{13}(u_1 - u_3)] + [r_{12}(u_1 - u_2), r_{23}(u_2 - u_3)] + [r_{13}(u_1 - u_3), r_{23}(u_2 - u_3)] = 0$$

which is again the classical Yang-Baxter eq.

On the elements of the monodromy, this implies that:

$$\{T_1(u), T_2(v)\} = [r_{12}(u-v), T_1(u) T_2(v)]$$

which is called Sklyanin bracket.

Taking the trace in both spaces, this implies for the transfer matrix

$$\text{that: } \{Z(u), Z(v)\} = 0$$

so the conserved quantities of the transfer matrix are in involution